

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/750,237 12/29/2003 Barrett W. Brown 06702-008001 8905 EXAMINER 26161 02/15/2006 7590 FISH & RICHARDSON PC MATHEW, FENN C P.O. BOX 1022 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 3764

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_ 🕎	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/750,237	BROWN, BARRETT W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fenn C. Mathew	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 N	lovember 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		ļ
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-15</u> is/are pending in the appli	ication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	·	
o) are subject to restriction allare	or oronom roquiromonic		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	•	-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea 	-	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	received.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·	

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 08/18/2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shapiro (U.S. 2,206,581). Referring to claim 1, Shapiro teaches as broadly claimed, a multi-level structure including a plurality of steps configured to allow a child to climb from a first level to a second level, the second level comprising a deck, with each step comprising a platform sized to accommodate the entire body of a the child, the steps being mounted within the multi-level structure so that they are removable without damaging the structure. Referring to claims 3-4, as best understood, Shapiro teaches removable upright members to which steps are removably attached. Referring to claim 7, Shapiro teaches steps having four corners adjacent upright members. Referring to claims 8-9, in figure 12, note that Shapiro teaches rungs extending substantially

Art Unit: 3764

horizontally between at least one pair of adjacent upright members. Referring to claim 10, Shapiro teaches that the first level may be ground level. Referring to claim 11, Shapiro teaches that the steps may be removed to leave an open play area (chute). Referring to claim 12, Shapiro teaches that the steps may be mounted so that they may be removable at any time. Referring to claims 13-15, Shapiro teaches providing the claimed invention, and removably placing steps in form of platforms, and removing them when necessary, with the structure further comprising a plurality of climbing rungs.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shapiro in view of Durlacher (U.S. 2,954,977). Referring to claims 5-6, Shapiro teaches the claimed invention, but lacks horizontally mounted members configured to be removably received by the upper portion of removable upright members by notches located in the upright members. Durlacher teaches the desirability having notched upright members to removably receive horizontally mounted members for ease of removal. In view of the teaching of Durlacher it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide notches in the upright members of Shapiro in order to receive horizontally mounted members for ease of removal.

Art Unit: 3764

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note rejections above.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C. Mathew whose telephone number is (571) 272-4978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

Art Unit: 3764

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ুন্দা fcm February 11, 2006

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael G. Br